

Express Mail No. EV529805808US

TRANSMITTAL LETTER TO THE UNITED STATES

ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NO. 310134 402USPC

DESIGNATED/ELECTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)			310134.402031 C						
(CONCERNING A SUBMISSI	U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) 10/520,986							
INTE	RNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED						
	GB2003/002962	09 July 2003							
PCT/GB2003/002962									
	ECULAR DETECTOR ARRANGEN	MENT	•						
	APPLICANT(S) FOR DO/EO/US Pichord CH REPT: Vice Fong 7HOU: and Brian Philip ALLEN								
Richard GILBERT; Xiao-Feng ZHOU; and Brian Philip ALLEN									
Applic	ant herewith submits to the United States	Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the							
1.	This is a FIRST submission of items	This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a submission under 35 U.S.C. 371. Legal Staff International Division							
2.	This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUE	This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a submission under 35 U.S.C. 371.							
3.	This is an express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)). The submission must include items (5), (6), (9) and (21) indicated below.								
4.	The US has been elected (Article 31)).							
5.	A copy of the International Applicat	ion as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).							
	a. is attached hereto (required	only if not communicated by the Internation	onal Bureau).						
	b. has been communicated by	the International Bureau.							
	c. is not required, as the applic	ation was filed in the United States Receive	ving Office (RO/US).						
6.	An English language translation of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).								
	a. is attached hereto.	a. is attached hereto.							
	b. has been previously submitt	b. has been previously submitted under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).							
7.	Amendments to the claims of the Int	Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3))							
	a. are attached hereto (required	a. are attached hereto (required only if not communicated by the International Bureau).							
	b. have been communicated by the International Bureau.								
	c. have not been made; howev	er, the time limit for making such amendm	nents has NOT expired.						
	d. have not been made and wil	I not be made.							
8.	An English language translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).								
9. [An oath or declaration of the invento	An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)).							
10. [An English language translation of t Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).	he annexes to the International Preliminar	y Examination Report under PCT						
Items	11 to 20 below concern document(s)	or information included:							
11. [An Information Disclosure Statemen	t under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.							
12.	An assignment document for recording	ing. A separate cover sheet in compliance	with 37 CFR 3.28 and 331 is included.						
13.	A preliminary amendment.								
14.	An Application Data Sheet under 37	CFR 1.76							
15.	A substitute specification.								
16.	A power of attorney and/or change of	of address letter.							
17.	A computer-readable form of the sec	quence listing in accordance with PCT Rul	le 13ter.2 and 37 CFR 1.821 – 1.825.						
18.	A second copy of the published Inte	rnational Application under 35 U.S.C. 154	4(d)(4).						
19.	A second copy of the English langua	age translation of the international applicat	tion under 35 U.S.C. 154(d)(4).						
20.X	Other items or information: Respon	se to Decision of September 8, 2006; Cop	by of Decision of September 8, 2006						

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO. ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER							
10/520,986			PCT/GB2003/002962 3101		310134.402USPC	134.402USPC	
	g fees are submit	tted		·			
21. Basic national fee (37 CFR 1.492(a))						.00	
	````						
If the written opini examination rep							
			un ciumis sunsiy pi		00		
All other situations		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.00				
23. Search fe	ee (37 CFR 1.49	2(b))					
			ional preliminary exar	nination		;	
			ims satisfy provisions		_		
			he international applic		00		
			rity		00		
			ner than the US and pr				
			S by the IB		0		
All other situations	3			\$500.0	0 \$	.00	
			TOTAL OF 2	1, 22 AND 23 =	<b>\$</b>	.00	
Addition	al fee for specif	ication and draw	ings filed in paper ove	er 100 sheets (exclud	ding		
			FR 1.821(c) or (e) or	computer program			
		edium) (37 CFR		.1			
			eets of paper or fraction				
Total Sheets	Extra Sheets	l .	ich additional 50 or	RATE			
		whole numbe	of (round <b>up</b> to a				
- 100 =	/50 =	whole number	1)	X \$250.00	\$	.00	
100	/30 			Α Ψ250.00	<b>1</b>	.00	
Surcharge of \$130.	00 for furnishin	g any of the sear	ch fee, examination fe	e, or the oath or			
	<del></del>		national stage (37 CFF		\$	.00	
Claims	N	Number Filed	Number Ext				
Total Claims		- 20 = - 3 =		x \$ 50.00	\$ D \$	.00	
Independent Claims - 3 = MULTIPLE DEPENDENT CLAIM(S) (if applicable)			x \$ 200.00 + \$360.00			.00	
TOTAL OF ABOVE CALCULATIONS =						.00	
Applicant clain	ns small entity s		R 1.27. Fees above are		\$	.00	
			<del></del>	SUBTOTAL =		.00	
Processing fee of \$	130.00 for furni	ishing the Englis	h translation later thar				
earliest claimed pri				+		.00	
		2/4		TIONAL FEE =	\$	.00	
Fee for recording the enclosed assignment (37 CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment must be accompanied by an appropriate cover sheet (37 CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 per property +							
	.00						
Fee for extension of time to respond to Notification of Missing Requirements (37 CFR 1.136(a)). A Petition for Extension of Time is included.						.00	
TOTAL FEES ENCLOSED =						.00	
		<del></del>			Amou	nt to be	
						unded:	
						nt to be	
<u> </u>					Cl	narged:	

U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)	INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO.	ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER			
10/520,986	PCT/GB2003/002962	310134.402USPC			
a. A check in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees is enclosed.					
Please charge my Deposit Account No. 19-1090 in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 19-1090. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.					
Fees are to be charged to a credit card. WARNING: Information on this form may become public. Credit card information should not be included on this form. Provide credit card information and authorization on PTO-2038.					
If there is a deficiency in the basic national fee, the Commissioner is authorized to charge such deficiency to Deposit Account No. 19-1090. A duplicate of this sheet is enclosed. This is <b>not</b> a general authorization to charge the Deposit Account for any extra claim, examination, search or extra sheet fees.					
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive (37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the International Application to pending status.					
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:	Shlu I de	solu-			
Stephen J. Rosenman, Ph.D. Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC					
701 5 th Avenue, Suite 6300	Stephen J. Rosenman, Ph.D.	Stephen J. Rosenman, Ph.D.			
Seattle, WA 98104-7092	NAME				
United States of America					
(206) 622-4900	43,058 REGISTRATION NUMBER				
	ICOISTICATION NOMBER	•			
83889_1.DOC		(07/05)			

Express Mail No.: EV529805808US

### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

Applicants : Richard GILBERT, Xiao-Feng ZHOU and Brian Philip ALLEN

Int'l Application No. : PCT/GB2003/002962

U.S. Application No. : 10/520,986 Int'l Filing Date : 9 July 2003

Title : MOLECULAR DETECTOR ARRANGEMENT

Docket No. : 310134.402USPC

Date : September 21, 2006

PCT Legal Office Mail Stop PCT Assistant Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

# RESPONSE TO DECISION OF SEPTEMBER 8, 2006

# Commissioner for Patents:

Applicants thank the Commissioner for withdrawing the holding of abandonment pursuant to the evidence in the Petition to Accord a Filing Date ("Petition"), which was submitted by Applicants on July 25, 2006.

The PCT Legal Examiner's inspection of the copy of the July 27, 2005 Declaration, which was submitted with the Petition, revealed a discrepancy whereby the name of the second inventor appeared as "Xiao-Feng ZHOU", while the published international application (PCT/GB2003/002962) names as an inventor "XHOU, Xiao-Feng".

The undersigned representative has verified that the proper spelling of the second inventor's name is indeed "Xiao-Feng ZHOU". Any typographical errors that arose are on the part of the International Bureau at publication, insofar as Xiao-Feng ZHOU's name was properly spelled in the PCT Request.

Express Mail No.: EV719380741US

International Application No.: PCT/GB2003/002962

International Filing Date: 9 July 2003

Please contact the undersigned representative if any additional information is required. Issuance of a filing receipt and a Notice of Acceptance for this application are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

SEED Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

Stephen J. Rosehman, Ph.D. Registration No. 43,058

SJR:rp

Enclosure: Copy of Decision of September 8, 2006

701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300 Seattle, Washington 98104-7092

Phone: (206) 622-4900 Fax: (206) 682-6031

809398_1.DOC

SJR



08 SEP 2006

Express Mail No.: EV529805808US Commis Alexandria

ed States Patent and Trademark ( P.O. Box Alexandria, VA 22313www.uspto

**RECEIVED** 

Stephen J. Rosenman Seed Intellectual Property Law Group 701 5th Avenue Suite 6300 Seattle WA 98104-7092

SEP 1 1 2006

Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC

In re Application of

Gilbert et al. Application No. 10/520,986

PCT No.: PCT/GB03/02962 Int. Filing Date: 09 July 2003 Priority Date: 10 July 2002

Atty. Docket No.: 310134.402USPC

For: Molecular Detector Arrangement

DECISION

discrepancy in a beclaration Oct. 8, 2004

**ENTERED IN DOCKET** 

This is in response to the "Petition To Accord A Filing Date" filed on 25 July 2006.

### **DISCUSSION**

In a Notification mailed on 30 June 2006, the correspondence filed on 02 June 2006 was treated as follows:

The instant correspondence urges that "a copy of the Response as filed on July 27, 2005" be accepted on the basis of return postcard receipt evidence. However, the instant correspondence has not been signed by a registered patent attorney or agent. Further, it appears to have been prepared and signed by "Rosie Price, Legal Assistant to Stephen J. Rosenman, Ph.D." It would not be appropriate to consider the merits of this correspondence (which is in effect a petition to accord a filing date to the "copy of the Response as filed on July 27, 2005") in the absence of the signature of a registered practitioner.

The instant petition is signed by a registered practitioner, who cites an accompanying copy of a return postcard receipt. Said postcard receipt is stamped as "Rec'd PCT/PTO 27 JUL 2005" and itemizes the following:

Check; Transmittal Letter (3 pages + copy); Declaration for Utility or Design Application Using an Application Data Sheet (1 page); Election and Power of Attorney; Statement under 37 C.F.R. § 3.73(b); Form PTO-1595; Assignment (3 pages); Information Disclosure Statement, Form PCT-1449 (1 page); Copies of Cited References (11); and Copy of Notification of Missing Requirements (PCT/DO/EO/905)

The petition is accompanied by copies of a Transmittal Letter, a Declaration, an "Election and Power of Attorney," a statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b), an assignment, an IDS (Form PTO-1449) and 11 prior art references. Petitioner states that, inter alia, the stamped postcard receipt and the "Response to Notification of Missing Requirements are true copies." MPEP 503 states in part that "A postcard receipt which itemizes and properly identifies the papers which are being filed serves as prima facie evidence of receipt in the PTO of all the items listed thereon on the

Application No.: 10/520,986

date stamped thereon by the PTO." Following that practice, the itemized correspondence (including *inter alia* the declaration) is regarded as a copy of the correspondence originally filed on 27 July 2005. Since said correspondence included an executed declaration (in response to the requirement set by the Form PCT/DO/EO/905 mailed on 12 July 2005), the holding of abandonment is hereby **WITHDRAWN**.

Inspection of the 25 July 2006 copy of the declaration originally filed on 27 July 2005 reveals that it names "Xiao-Feng ZHOU" as the second inventor, while the published international application nominates "XHOU, Xiao-Feng." It is not clear from the record whether this discrepancy arose from a mere typographic error or phonetic misspelling of applicant's name or, alternatively, whether it reflects a substantive change in the name or identity of the inventor. If the latter case obtains, a proper petition and fee under 37 CFR 1.182 would be required to resolve this matter. See MPEP § 605.04(b) and MPEP § 201.03(b). In the absence of an acceptable petition and/or statement addressing this discrepancy, or alternatively a newly executed declaration naming the same inventive entity as nominated in the published international application, it would not be appropriate to accept the declaration under 37 CFR 1.497(a) and (b) at this time.

### **CONCLUSION**

The petition is **GRANTED** to the extent described above.

Applicants have <u>ONE (1) MONTH</u> to file a proper response addressing the discrepancy in the declaration described above. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are <u>NOT</u> available. Failure to file a timely response will result in <u>ABANDONMENT</u>.

Any further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Mail Stop PCT, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, with the contents of the letter marked to the attention of the PCT Legal Office.

Daniel Stemmer PCT Legal Examiner

Office of PCT Legal Administration

George M. Dombroske PCT Legal Examiner

Office of PCT Legal Administration

Tel: (571) 272-3283 Fax: (571) 273-0459